

Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence

Dhrubaditya Mitra

Thesis Supervisor : Rahul Pandit

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 560012

Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.1/5





- Introduction
- Dynamic multiscaling
- Summary of results
- Dynamics of Passive scalar
- Passive-vector





Introduction

- Dynamic multiscaling
 Dynamic Multiscaling in fluid turbulence
 - Multifractal Picture
 - Bridge relations
 - Eulerian vs Lagrangian
 - Ongoing Work
- Summary of results
- Dynamics of Passive scalar
- Passive-vector





- Introduction
- Dynamic multiscaling
- Summary of results
- Dynamics of Passive scalar
 - Kraichnan model.
 - Simple dynamic scaling
 - Realistic advecting field
 - Dynamic multiscaling
- Passive-vector





- Introduction
- Dynamic multiscaling
- Summary of results
- Dynamics of Passive scalar
- Passive-vector
 - Anti-Dynamo"
 - Dynamic multiscaling
 - Passive-vector shell model



Near the critical point The spin-spin correlation function ($\Gamma(r, T_r)$):

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(x+r) - \phi(x)\phi(x) \rangle \approx \frac{1}{r^{d-2+\eta}}\mathcal{F}(T_r^{\nu}\xi);$$
 (0)

•
$$T_r \equiv (T - T_c)/T_c$$

- zero external magentic field
- ξ correlation length, diverges at the critical point;
- η and ν : static critical exponents;
- \mathcal{F} : universal scaling function.

Scaling and Universality



- log-log plot of $\Gamma(r, T_r)$ vs r is a is a straight line slope $-d + 2 \eta$.
- lattice-spacing $\ll r \ll$ system-size.
- $\eta \nu$ does not depend on details of the Hamiltonian.

Experiment





Fig. 1.10. Wake behind two identical cylinders at R = 1800. Courtesy R. Dumas.



Fig. 1.11. Homogeneous turbulence behind a grid. Photograph T. Corke and H. Nagib.

Direct Numerical Simulation





Zoom-in







Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\partial_t \vec{v} + (\vec{v}.\vec{\nabla})\vec{v} = \nu \nabla^2 \vec{v} + \vec{\nabla} p / \rho + \vec{f} / \rho,$$

 \vec{v} , p, ν , and ρ are, respectively: Eulerian velocity, pressure, kinematic viscosity, and density; and \vec{f} is the external forcing; incompressibility is imposed via $\vec{\nabla}.\vec{v} = 0$.



- Navier-Stokes equation:
- Energy is pumped in at lengths $\sim L$ and dissipation is significant only for lengths $\lesssim \eta_d$.



- Navier-Stokes equation:
- Energy is pumped in at lengths $\sim L$ and dissipation is significant only for lengths $\lesssim \eta_d$.
- In the *inertial range*, $\eta_d \ll \ell \ll L$, scaling exponents are independent of both the forcing term and the viscosity.(Universality)



- Navier-Stokes equation:
- Energy is pumped in at lengths $\sim L$ and dissipation is significant only for lengths $\lesssim \eta_d$.
- In the *inertial range*, $\eta_d \ll \ell \ll L$, scaling exponents are independent of both the forcing term and the viscosity.(Universality)
- Energy cascades down the inertial range till it is dissipated in the dissipation range.





Energy flow diagram in





Order-p static, longitudinal velocity structure function.

$$S_p(\ell) \equiv \langle \delta v(\ell, t)^p \rangle,$$

$$\delta v(\ell, t) \equiv [\vec{v}(\vec{r} + \vec{\ell}, t) - \vec{v}(\vec{r}, t)] \cdot (\vec{\ell}/\ell),$$



• For ℓ in the *inertial range* we have

$$S_p(\ell) \sim \ell^{\zeta_p}.$$

• ζ_p is a nonlinear, convex function of p(Multiscaling) as in the She-Leveque formula

$$\zeta_p^{SL} = (p/9) + 2[1 - (2/3)^{p/3}],$$

which provides a reasonably good parametrization of experimental and numerical data for the multiscaling exponents ζ_p .

She-Leveque formula







• $\zeta_3 = 1$ (von-Karmann-Howarth)

• ζ_p versus p is a convex curve.

Critical dynamics



- Near a critical point the relaxation time diverges.
- *z* dynamic scaling exponent.
- Collapse of the whole correlation function.
- $C(k,t) \equiv \langle \tilde{\phi}(k,0) \tilde{\phi}(-k,t) \rangle$ where ϕ is the order parameter.

Data-Collapse (Schematic)



Each of the above curves in the first plot can be written in the form $f(t/\tau)$ where $\tau \sim k^{-z}$. Hence we obtain a collapse if we plot on the horizontal axis tk^z (second plot) instead of t itself.

Simple dynamic scaling



 $\tau^{I}(k) \sim \tau^{C}(k) \sim k^{-z}$



Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.16/5



$$\mathcal{F}_p(\ell, \{t_1, \ldots, t_{p-1}\}) \equiv \langle [\delta u_{\parallel}(\vec{x}, 0, \ell) \ldots \delta u_{\parallel}(\vec{x}, t_{p-1}, \ell)] \rangle.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{F}_p(\ell, \{t_1 = \ldots = t_{p-1} = 0) = \mathcal{S}_p(\ell)$. We normally restrict ourselves to the simple case $t_1 = t_2 = \ldots = t_q \equiv t$





 $T_p^I(\ell) \equiv \frac{1}{S_p(\ell)} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}(\ell, t) dt$ $\sim \ell^{z_p^I}$

 $T_p^C(\ell) \equiv \left[\frac{1}{S_p(\ell)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{F}(\ell, t)\right]^{-1/2}$ $\sim \ell^{z_p^C}$



- z_p depends on exactly how $\mathcal{T}_p(\ell)$ is extracted.
- No collapse of full correlation function.
 Breakdown of simple dynamic scaling.
- z_p is a non-linear function of p.





Multifractal model predicts dynamic multiscaling

$$z_{p,1}^{I} = 1 + [\zeta_{p-1} - \zeta_{p}],$$

$$z_{p,2}^D = 1 + [\zeta_p - \zeta_{p+2}]/2.$$

Comparing Bridge Relations





The two bridge relations, using the SL formula for ζ_p , for $p = 1 \dots 25$.[red (integral); blue (curvature)]



- Fluid turbulence shows dynamic multiscaling.
- Breakdown of simple dynamic scaling
- Confirmed by numerical simulation in GOY shell model of turbulence.
- Can be generalised.
- No real analytical calculation is possible at present.
- If $\zeta_p = p/3$ (K41), simple dynamic scaling is obtained, $z_p = 2/3$.





- 1. Can dynamic scaling exponents be analytically extracted for other similar model ?
- 2. If answer to 1 is yes, then does multifractal model predicts correctly for 1.
- 3. Does equal-time multiscaling implies dynamic multiscaling ?
- 4. In general what are the ingredients of dynamic multiscaling ?





- Analytically tractable model exists, (Kraichnan model) but shows simple dynamic scaling,i.e. equal-time multiscaling does not necessarily imply dynamic multiscaling.
- Multifractal model gives correct result.
- If the advecting velocity is multiscaling, dynamic multiscaling is obtained.
- z_p need not necessary be a non-linear function of p for dynamic multiscaling.
- 3-d passive-vector should have same dynamic scaling like passive scalar.



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla\theta = \kappa \nabla^2 \theta + f_\theta$$
$$\langle u_i(\vec{x}, t) u_j(\vec{x} + \vec{\ell}, t') \rangle = 2D_{ij}(\vec{\ell}) \delta(t - t')$$
$$D_{ij}(\vec{\ell}) = D_o \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} d_{ij}(\vec{\ell})$$

 $L \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \eta \rightarrow 0$

$$d_{ij} = D_1 \ell^{\xi} \left((d-1+\xi)\delta_{ij} - \xi \frac{\ell_i \ell_j}{\ell^2} \right)$$

Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.25/54

Equal-time multiscaling



- Multiscaling can be analytically (but perturbative) demonstrated.
- $S_p(\ell) \sim \ell^{\zeta_p^{\theta}}$.
- ${\scriptstyle {\color{red} \bullet}}~~ 0 < \xi < 2$.
- Structure functions have good limits, not correlation functions.
- 2-nd order quantities show dimensional scaling.
- Numerical simulations support analytical results.



$$\begin{aligned} [\frac{d}{dt} + \kappa k_m^2]\theta_m(t) &= i[a_m(\theta_{m+1}^* u_{m-1}^* - \theta_{m-1}^* u_{m+1}^*) \\ &+ b_m(\theta_{m-1}^* u_{m-2}^* + \theta_{m-2}^* u_{m-1}) \\ &+ c_m(\theta_{m+2}^* u_{m+1} + \theta_{m+1}^* u_{m+2}^*)] \\ &+ \delta_{m,1} f(t). \end{aligned}$$

 \mathbf{T}

C

5/1

11

$$\langle u_m(t)u_p^*(t')\rangle = D_m \delta_{p,m} \delta(t - t')$$

with $D_m = k_m^{-\xi}$. $S_p^{\theta}(m) \equiv \langle [\theta_m \theta_m^*]^{p/2} \rangle \sim k_m^{-\zeta_p^{\theta}}$





$$F_p(m,t) = \langle [\theta_m(0)\theta_m^*(t)]^{p/2} \rangle,$$



 $F_2(m,t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}F_2(m,t) &= \langle \theta_m(0)[-\kappa k_m^2 \theta_m + \delta_{m,1}f] \rangle \\ &- \langle \theta_m(0)\{i[a_m(\theta_{m+1}^* u_{m-1}^* - \theta_{m-1}^* u_{m+1}^*) \\ &+ b_m(\theta_{m-1}^* u_{m-2}^* + \theta_{m-2}^* u_{m-1}) \\ &+ c_m(\theta_{m+2}^* u_{m+1} + \theta_{m+1}^* u_{m+2}^*)]\} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$



$$\langle \theta_m(0)\theta_{m+1}^*u_{m-1}^*\rangle = \langle u_{m-1}^*u_q \rangle \langle \frac{\delta}{\delta u_q(t)}\theta_m(0)\theta_{m+1}^*(t) \rangle$$
(-15)

•
$$\langle u_m(t)u_p^*(t')\rangle = D_m\delta_{p,m}\delta(t-t')$$

Causality





$$F_2(m,t) = S_2(m)e^{-t/\tau(m)},$$

$$\tau(m) = 4k_m^{-(2-\xi)}A^{-1}(\xi)$$

$$A(\xi) = [2^{(2\xi-2)} + 2^{-(2\xi-2)}] + [2^{\xi} + 2^{-\xi}] + [2^{(\xi-2)} + 2^{-(\xi-2)}].$$

Similar relations can be obtained for higher values of p but the process is more cumbersome.



- Viscous term integrated exactly.
- Careful numerical scheme for the white-in-time velocity.

 $F_2(m,t)(\xi = 0.6)$





Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.33/54

 $z_2(\xi = 0.6)$



 $F_4(m,t)(\xi = 0.6)$





 $z_4(\xi = 0.6)$





Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.36/54



•
$$z = 2 - \xi$$

- Collapse for full correlation function.
- White-in-time nature of velocity plays major role.
- Equal-time multiscaling does not necessary imply dynamic multiscaling.
- Agrees with multifractal model predictions.
- Same analytical calculation applies to the full 3-d Kraichnan model.





- 1. For velocity field with simple scaling but not white-in-time $z = 2 \xi$ (multifractal model).
- 1 should be true for passive-vector too. (Kinametic dynamo model)
- 3. What happens if velocity field is multiscaling?

GOY Shell Model



$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} + \nu k_n^2 \end{bmatrix} u_n = i(a_n u_{n+1} u_{n+2} + b_n u_{n-1} u_{n+1} + c_n u_{n-1} u_{n-2})^* + f_n;$$

- dynamical variables: complex, scalar velocities u_n , for the shells n.
- one-dimensional, logarithmically spaced wavevectors k_n , i.e., $k_n = k_0 2^n$, and c.c is denoted by *

• $a_n = k_n$, $b_n = -\delta k_{n-1}$, $c_n = -(1 - \delta)k_{n-2}$; chosen to conserve the shell-model analogues of energy and helicity in the

Passive scalar shell model



$$\begin{aligned} [\frac{d}{dt} + \kappa k_m^2]\theta_m(t) &= i[a_m(\theta_{m+1}^* u_{m-1}^* - \theta_{m-1}^* u_{m+1}^*) \\ &+ b_m(\theta_{m-1}^* u_{m-2}^* + \theta_{m-2}^* u_{m-1}^*) \\ &+ c_m(\theta_{m+2}^* u_{m+1}^* + \theta_{m+1}^* u_{m+2}^*)] \\ &+ \delta_{m,1} f(t), \end{aligned}$$
(-18)

Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.40/54

Equal-time properties



- Equal-time multiscaling.
- More intermittent than fluid.
- Agrees well with experiments.





Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.42/54









 $F_2(m,t)$





Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.45/54

 $F_4(m,t)$











Multifractal model predicts:

•
$$z_{p,2}^D = 1 - \zeta_2^u/2$$

•
$$z_{p,1}^I = 1 - \zeta_{-1}^u$$

- Breakdown of simple scaling.
- Does structure functions with negative exponents exists ?

Cumuliative pdf for u_m





Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.49/54

Negative exponents



- For small $|u_m|$, $P^{cum}[|u_m|] \sim |u_m|^{1.8}$.
- $P[|u_m|] \sim |u_m|^{0.8}$
- $S_{-1}(m) \equiv \int P[x] \frac{1}{x} dx \sim \int x^{-0.2} dx$; exists.
- But $S_p(m)$ for p, for $p \approx -1.8$ doesnot.
- $T_{p,M}^{I}$ for M > 2 doesnot exists.
- Measurement of a static quantity (P(x)) gives us information about existence of a dynamic quantity $T_{p,M}^{I}$.





Dynamic multiscaling





Dynamics of passive fields in turbulence - p.52/54





- Analytically tractable model exists, (Kraichnan model) but shows simple dynamic scaling.
- Multifractal model gives correct result.
- If the advecting field is multiscaling, dynamic multiscaling is obtained.
- z_p need not necessary be a non-linear function of p for dynamic multiscaling.
- 3-d passive-vector should have same dynamic scaling like passive scalar.



- Dynamic Multiscaling in fluid turbulence : An Overview. D. Mitra and R. Pandit, *Physica A*, 318, 179 (2003).
- 2. Varieties of Dynamic Multiscaling in Fluid Turbulence. D. Mitra and R. Pandit. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 024501 (2004) (nlin.CD/0309037)
- 3. Dynamic scaling and multiscaling in passive scalar turbulence. **D. Mitra** and R. Pandit. Manuscript in preparation.